

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION (THIS IS NOT AN ORDER)	THIS RFQ [ ] IS [ ] IS NOT A SMALL BUSINESS SET-ASIDE	PAGE 1 OF 2
---	---	-------------

1. REQUEST NO. 1300504226	2. DATE ISSUED 08/24/2015	3. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUEST NO. N65236-15-NR-55349	4. CERT. FOR NAT. DEF. UNDER BDSA REG. 2 AND/OR DMS REG. 1	RATING DO-A7		
5a. ISSUED BY  SPAWAR-Systems Center Lant (CHRL) Attn: William F Tobin P.O. BOX 190022 North Charleston SC 29419-9022			6. DELIVER BY (Date)  SEE SCHEDULE			
5b. FOR INFORMATION CALL (NO COLLECT CALLS)			7. DELIVERY [ ] FOB DESTINATION [ ] OTHER (See Schedule)			
NAME William F Tobin		TELEPHONE NUMBER AREA CODE 843		NUMBER 218-5950		
8. TO:			9. DESTINATION a. NAME OF CONSIGNEE SEE SCHEDULE			
a. NAME		b. COMPANY		b. STREET ADDRESS		
c. STREET ADDRESS			c. CITY			
d. CITY		e. STATE	f. ZIP CODE	d. STATE e. ZIP CODE		
10. PLEASE FURNISH QUOTATIONS TO THE ISSUING OFFICE IN BLOCK 5a ON OR BEFORE CLOSE OF BUSINESS (Date) 09/11/2015		IMPORTANT: This is a request for information and quotations furnished are not offers. If you are unable to quote, please so indicate on this form and return it to the address in Block 5a. This request does not commit the Government to pay any costs incurred in the preparation of the submission of this quotation or to contract for supplies or service. Supplies are of domestic origin unless otherwise indicated by quoter. Any representations and/or certifications attached to this Request for Quotation must be completed by the quoter.				
11. SCHEDULE (Include applicable Federal, State and local taxes)						
ITEM NO. (a)	SUPPLIES/SERVICES (b)		QUANTITY (c)	UNIT (d)	UNIT PRICE (e)	AMOUNT (f)
	SEE SCHEDULE					
12. DISCOUNT FOR PROMPT PAYMENT		a. 10 CALENDAR DAYS(%)	b. 20 CALENDAR DAYS(%)	c. 30 CALENDAR DAYS(%)	d. CALENDAR DAYS NUMBER   PERCENTAGE	
NOTE: Additional provisions and representations [ ] are [ ] are not attached.						
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF QUOTER			14. SIGNATURE OF PERSON AUTHORIZED TO SIGN QUOTATION		15. DATE OF QUOTATION	
a. NAME OF QUOTER			16. SIGNER			
b. STREET ADDRESS						
c. COUNTY			a. NAME (Type or print)		b. TELEPHONE	
d. CITY			e. STATE		f. ZIP CODE	
e. STATE			f. ZIP CODE		c. TITLE (Type or print)	
f. ZIP CODE			c. TITLE (Type or print)		NUMBER	

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 2 of 2	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	----------------	-------

## **GENERAL INFORMATION**

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### **TASK ORDER REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR ICO SMALL BUSINESS MAC**

**\*\*PLEASE READ THIS RFP VERY CAREFULLY AND IN ITS ENTIRETY.\*\***

**This solicitation is open for 18 days, if this time is insufficient to submit a proposal, inform the ordering officer by 31 August 2015.**

**Item Description: Computer Network Defense (CND) Services for ISEA Support**

**Terms: CPFF/Level of Effort**

#### **Response and Evaluation Criteria:**

The evaluation method will be:

Best Value, Trade-Off, Technical Factors (non-cost factors ) are considered to be significantly more important than the Cost.

#### **Non-Cost/Technical Factors**

Factor 1: Technical/Management Capability

#### **SECTION F – Deliveries or Performance**

The Period of Performance for the services described herein is as follows:

**Base Year:** One year beginning from date of award.

#### **Option Year 1:**

If exercised, period will be from one-year anniversary of base year award date for one year period beginning at that date.

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 1 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	-----------------	-------

## SECTION B SUPPLIES OR SERVICES AND PRICES

Offerors please complete.

CLIN - SUPPLIES OR SERVICES

For Cost Type Items:

Item	Supplies/Services	Qty	Unit	Est. Cost	Fixed Fee	CPFF
2001	Integrated Cyber Operations Support Services in support Integrated Cyber Operations (ICO) Portfolio mission areas in accordance with the contract PWS. (Fund Type - TBD)	1.0	LO			
3001	Integrated Cyber Operations Support Services in support Integrated Cyber Operations (ICO) Portfolio mission areas in accordance with the contract PWS. (Fund Type - TBD)	1.0	LO			
	Option					

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 2 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	-----------------	-------

## SECTION C DESCRIPTIONS AND SPECIFICATIONS

### TASK ORDER (TO) PERFORMANCE WORK STATEMENT (PWS)

#### SPACE AND NAVAL WARFARE SYSTEMS CENTER, ATLANTIC

**SHORT TITLE:** Computer Network Defense (CND) Services for ISEA Support

#### 1.0 PRIMARY PLACE(S) OF PERFORMANCE

- a. Contractor facilities

#### 2.0 TASK ORDER PURPOSE

##### 2.1 BACKGROUND

The Navy's current network defenses are an aggregation of individual Commercial Off-The-Shelf (COTS) components. Although the use of proven COTS technology significantly reduces risks, the program may face integration challenges. Navy CND will be required to operate in a large, distributed environment, with multiple heterogeneous systems, operating on ships and shore commands. Coordination with other programs is necessary to ensure interoperability and operational requirements are achieved. CND Systems are comprised of a set of tools and supporting hardware to provide the capabilities of protect, monitor, analyze, detect, and respond to unauthorized activity at the host/desktop, Local Area Network (LAN), and Wide Area Network (WAN) where it is deployed.

In an era when threat to Computer Network Operations (CNO) is advanced, persistent and constantly changing, it is imperative that Navy CND meets future requirements and emerging threats. Navy CND, where applicable, will align to the Information Assurance Master Plan (IAMP) (reference (j)) and strive to develop future initiatives and provide robust solutions to counter and mitigate threats.

Navy CND Systems will provide protection, analysis, and situational awareness capabilities to Navy networks, on afloat platforms and shore sites. Per reference (k), "CND operations and supporting systems must be capable of operating and surviving in the information environment normally described as cyberspace." Cyberspace is defined as "a global domain within the information environment, consisting of the interdependent network of information technology infrastructures, including the Internet, telecommunications network, computer systems, and embedded processors and controllers" (reference (l), Deputy Secretary of Defense Memorandum of 12 May 2008).

##### 2.2 SCOPE

Navy CND Systems will provide network management and monitoring capabilities with the end goal of facilitating Global Information Grid GIG situational awareness (SA) and Network Operations (NetOps). CND Systems will improve baseline IA core infrastructure, enhance automated Indications & Warnings (I&W) and Attack Sensing and Warning (AS&W) capabilities, provide integrated analytical response support tools, and create a framework for improved overall network defense awareness. Improved baseline protection is addressed by the CND Increment 2 protect, monitor, and detect capabilities. Automated I&W and AS&W capabilities are supported by the CND System monitor and analyze capabilities. Integrated analytical response support tools are provided under CND Systems analyze and respond capabilities. Fielding Navy CND Systems allows the secure interconnection of networks with common standards and hardware. Specific examples include:

- Protect
  - Defense-in-Depth (DiD) capability by adding blocking (per Internet Protocol (IP) address) compartmentalizing, and filtering (packet-level) network traffic, on all hosts and boundaries
  - Configuration and vulnerability assessment through centralized/enterprise level management, remediation tools, and automated scans
- Monitor/Detect/Analyze
  - Shared awareness through a User Defined Operational Picture (UDOP) will provide an enterprise-wide picture of threats, vulnerabilities, events and anomalies. If a large scale event directed at multiple Services, agencies and regional nodes occurred, the timeline for detection would significantly decrease with a common picture. CND will significantly improve the capability of the UDOP to provide an Enterprise Wide CND situational awareness
  - Intrusion detection through an enterprise-wide IA event content repository with statistical data mining, automated forensic captures, and anomaly profile metrics to generate knowledge from raw data reporting procedures for social engineering, detection of intrusions based on anomaly, and automatic detection of improper computer activity through use of intelligent agents
- Respond
  - Response coordination through centralized data correlation, providing a collaborative/coordinated response

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 3 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	-----------------	-------

- Containment response through selectively isolating nodes, via predefined boundaries for increased compartmentalization of systems and networks

CND is an enabler to all mission areas providing secure networks to conduct operations and is addressed in a variety of Joint documents. CND is a part of the Information Operations (IO) mission area per reference (m), Joint Publication (JP) 3-13, Information Operations, 13 February 2006. CND is also integral to NetOps which defines how the GIG will be managed and defended. CND is a component of GIG Network Defense and has a strong linkage to critical infrastructure protection.

Increased information protection and assured system and network availability will be achieved by:

- Instituting agile capabilities to resist adversarial attacks through recognition of such attacks as they are initiated or are progressing
- Efficient and effective response actions to counter the attack, and safely and securely recover from such attacks
- Reconstituting capabilities from reserve or reallocated assets when original capabilities are destroyed
- Maintaining correlation activities between user elements to ascertain hostile IA/CND events from other system outages or degradations
- Implementing a swift, effective system to continually update to augment CND systems in response to new threats

The work performed under this task order PWS will support projects sponsored by PMW 130.2 or Fleet Cyber Command. Tasking will include responding to critical security threats to the USN networks.

### 3.0 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

#### 3.1 REFERENCES

All references listed within the basic contract are required as applicable to this TO. In addition, the following reference(s) is identified specific to this TO:

	Document Number	Title
a.	DOD Manual 5220.22M	Industrial Security Manual
b.	DoD Directive 8500.1	Information Assurance (IA), 24 October 2002
c.	DoD Instruction 8500.2	Information Assurance (IA) Implementation for Automated Information Systems, 6 February 2003
d.	DoD Regulation 5200.2-R	DoD Personnel Security Program, January 1987
e.	SECNAVINST 5510.30A	Department of the Navy Personnel Security Program, 10 March 1999
f.	SECNAVINST 5510.36	Department of the Navy Information Security Program (ISP), 17 March 1999
g.	DoD Instruction DoDI 6205.4	Deployment Travel
h.	Space and Naval Warfare Systems Center Atlantic Instruction 12910.1	Deployment Travel
i.		National Security Agency (NSA), Information Assurance Master Plan, v5-2, Draft February 19, 2009
j.		United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM), Computer Network Defense (CND) Mission Area (MA) Initial Capabilities Document (ICD), July 14, 2004
k.		Deputy Secretary of Defense Memorandum, The Definition of "Cyberspace", May 12, 2008
l.		Information Operations (IO) mission area per reference (m), Joint Publication (JP) 3-13, Information Operations, 13 February 2006

#### 3.2 SPECIFICATIONS

All specifications listed in the basic contract are applicable as required by this TO

#### 3.3 ACRONYMS

- Assured Compliance Assessment Solution (ACAS)
- Host Based Security System (HBSS)
- Computer Network Defense (CND)

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 4 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	-----------------	-------

- System Operational Verification Testing (SOVT)
  - Commercial Off-The-Shelf (COTS)
- Local Area Network (LAN)
- Wide Area Network (WAN)
  - Computer Network Operations (CNO)
- Information Assurance Master Plan (IAMP)
- Indications & Warnings (I&W)
- Attack Sensing and Warning (AS&W)
- Defense-in-Depth (DiD)
- Internet Protocol (IP)
- Facility Security Clearance (FCL).
- Cybersecurity Workforce (CSWF)
- Contracting Officer Representative (COR)
  - Casualty Reports CASREPs
- System Operational Verification Test (SOVT)
- Department of Defense Information Assurance Certification and Accreditation Process (DIACAP)
- Fleet Advisory Message (FAM)
- Department of Navy Application and Database Management System (DBMS)

#### **4.0 SECURITY REQUIREMENTS**

##### **4.1 ORGANIZATION**

As specified in clause 5252.204-9200 and the Contract Security Classification Specification form, DD-254, classified work shall be performed under this task order. The contractor shall have prior to commencement of classified work a TOP SECRET facility security clearance (FCL).

##### **4.2 PERSONNEL**

Prior to any labor hours being charged on contract, the contractor shall ensure their personnel (including administrative and subcontractor personnel) have obtained and can maintain favorable background investigations at the appropriate level(s) for access level required by this task order, and are certified/credentialed for the Cybersecurity Workforce (CSWF). Typically, investigations are not required for personnel performing unclassified work who do not require access to government installations/facilities and/or government IT systems/IT resources. All personnel shall possess a TOP SECRET clearance prior to working on TO.

#### **5.0 COR DESIGNATION**

The Contracting Officer Representative (COR) for this task order is Brian Drake who can be reached at phone 843.218.7775; e-mail: brian.k.drake@navy.mil.

#### **6.0 DESCRIPTION OF WORK**

##### **6.1 ISEA Engineering Support Efforts (OMN) (Base/Option Year 1/Option Year 2)**

6.1.1 The contractor shall apply a systems engineering approach to provide solutions for technical problems effecting fielded Computer Network Defense (CND) Shore AN/FYC – 23(V) and Afloat AN/UYQ-96(V) system on the IT-21 and ONENET networks to include the CND System at NCTS San Diego. The CND system is made up of the following technologies/capabilities and hardware/software listed in Table 1 and Table 2.

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 5 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	-----------------	-------

6.1.2 The contractor shall have expertise with the technologies/capabilities or like technologies/capabilities listed in Table 1.

Firewalls
Virtual Private Network (VPN)
Intrusion Prevention System (IPS)
Intrusion Detection System (IDS)
Web Content Filtering/Scanning
Packet Capturing Analysis
Virus Scanning
Routing/Switching
Operating Systems (OS) Security
Virtualization
Vulnerability Scanning/Assessments/Patching
Authentication
Access Control
Malware Scanning/Detection
Security Information Event Monitoring (SIEM) Tools
Network Defense
Encryption
Logging

*Table 1*

6.1.3 The contractor shall have expertise to work on specific hardware and software or like technologies/capabilities listed in Table 2.

Manufacturer	Hardware Model(s)/Technologies	Software Version(s)
Cisco	4948, 7606, 7606S	12.x
Cisco	2950, 3750X	12.x, 15.x
Cisco	ACS 1113	4.x, 5.x
Juniper	SRX 3600	12.x
Juniper	NS-ISG 1000, 2000	6.x
McAfee	McAfee Firewall Enterprise 1100, 2150	7.x
McAfee	500E	4.x
McAfee	SCM 3400	MEG 7.x
McAfee	M-2750, M-3050, M-4050	6.x
Fortinet	Fortigate 1240B, 3600, 1000C	4.x
Brocade	12GCF	11.x
Loglogic	LX1020, LX2010	5.x
IBM	DS3524	10.x
VMware/IBM	HS23	vSphere 5.x
IBM	Blade Center H Chassis	NA
CloudShield	PN-41	Packet C
Promia	Raven 2100 Rev B Intelligent Agent Security Manager (IASM)	2.1, 2.2
Promia	ATU (IASM)	NA
Promia	ASU (IASM)	NA
McAfee	Host Based Security Solution (HBSS)	Windows 2008 R2 64bit, SQL 2008 Sp2, ePO 4.5
HP/Tenable	Assured Compliance Assessment Solution (ACAS)	Red Hat 5.9, Security Center 4.x, Nessus 5.x
Acme Packet	Net-Net 4500	6.3 p1
Red Hat	NA	Red Hat 6.x, Bind 9.x

*Table 2*

6.1.4 The contractor shall have expertise with the following Operating Systems: Windows workstation and server, Red Hat Linux, Cisco IOS, Juniper JUNOS, and appliance specific Unix/Linux.

6.1.5 The contractor shall ensure through providing ISEA/Sustainment Support that CND systems meet mission objectives and criteria requirements for Department of Navy (DoN) Network Security Systems and are maintained in a ready state.

6.1.6 Provide logistical support for the tracking of maintenance and fielded spare components for Systems.

6.1.7 Provide Tier 3 responses to reports of failed systems and Information Assurance (IA) related technical problems in support of fielded systems for items listed in Table 2. If technical issues cannot be resolved via distance support, a Subject Matter Expert (SME) onsite technical assist shall be directed by the government. Upon completion of the trip, the contractor shall provide an after action trip report, 5 days after completion of trip, detailing the issue and mitigation of the issue or provide a plan of action and milestone for resolution of the issue (A021).

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 6 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	-----------------	-------

6.1.8 Provide onsite technical troubleshooting and problem resolution for Casualty Reports CASREPs in support of the CND AN/FYC-23(V) & AN/UYQ-96(V) fielded systems. Maintain the ability to travel and deploy with afloat and submarine platforms. 5 days after completion of the trip, the contractor shall provide an after action trip report detailing the issue and mitigation of the issue or provide a plan of action and milestone for resolution of the issue (A021). That will be distributed to the program office and the Fleet Readiness Directorate (FRD).

6.1.9 All ISEA/Sustainment functions will be tracked using the TACNET Help Desk (Tier 1 support) and logged into the Remedy database for problem analysis and resolution. Technical support will be provided on a 24/7 basis. The contractor shall provide detailed distance support resolutions and track all man hours (A021) within the SPAWAR Remedy database.

6.1.10 Provide technical knowledge and support for CND ISEA based labs. Maintain a CND baseline to reflect the fielded systems. Perform monthly patching and mitigations steps to ensure that the CND baseline maintains a secure operation posture. Provide support to any out-of-production, but still operational item to extend its life.

6.1.11 Coordinate system Technical Manual logistics support to incorporate commercial product changes and approved engineering changes into revised system administrator and operator manuals (T002). Support preparing, developing, reviewing, analyzing documentation to include equipment, readiness, and requirements for the CND systems.

6.1.12 Provide maintenance to baseline system configuration guides, administration management guides, and include System Operational Verification Test (SOVT) plans for Department of Defense Information Assurance Certification and Accreditation Process (DIACAP) control conformity (A021).

6.1.13 Support system testing for IAV/ Security Technical Implementation Guide (STIG) and fielding of IAV/STIG patches. Maintain a Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) based POAM (A021) for each CND fielded device. Maintain the Online Compliance Reporting System (OCRS) database to reflect IAV compliance and mitigations procedures.

6.1.14 Support the CND system to meet any Computer Tasking Orders (CTO) or other Department of Navy (DoN)/ Department of Defense (DoD)/Federal guidelines for compliance.

6.1.15 Produce and maintain Regional Maintenance Center (RMC) Preventative Maintenance Service Cards (PMS) in compliance with 3M procedures. Provide technical support for Technical Feedback Report (TFBR) (A021).

6.1.16 Support the Certification and Accreditation C&A activities that support development of accreditation and re-accreditation packages.

## **6.2 ISEA/Sustainment Review Documentation (OMN) (Base/Option Year 1/Option Year 2)**

6.2.1 The contractor shall be prepared to review engineering data and validate reports of Physical Configuration Audits and Configuration Item Verification Reviews.

6.2.2 The contractor shall provide engineering support during the network infrastructure and documentation reviews.

6.2.3 The contractor shall support coordination and tracking of technical documentation and provide recommendations and updates to documentation as changes to systems and subsystems necessitate (A021).

## **6.3 Support Project and Process Management Efforts (OMN)(Base/Option Year 1/Option Year 2)**

6.3.1 The person directly supporting the task order shall provide Weekly Activity Reports (A021) in a format provided by the government CND ISEA project lead.

6.3.2 The contractor shall provide other support personnel in support of the CND team as required to ensure timely, qualitative and economically efficient completion of assigned tasks.

6.3.3 The contractor shall provide liaison with vendors, other contractors, and government representatives as directed in support of the CND efforts.

6.3.4 The contractor shall provide support to the CND team with development of program briefings and in-process reviews.

## **6.4 Task Order Administration (OMN)(Base/Option Year 1/Option Year 2)**

In accordance with the basic contract PWS and the requirements of this task order PWS, the contractor shall develop and submit documentation (see CDRL under Para 6.1.1) as required for TO administration.

## **6.5 (OMN) (Base/Option Year 1/Option Year 2)**

6.5.1 The contractor shall ensure that no Fleet Advisory Message (FAM) disapproved applications are integrated, installed or operation on Navy networks.

6.5.2 The contractor shall ensure that all databases that use Department of Navy Application and Database Management System (DBMS) designed,



SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 7 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	-----------------	-------

implemented, and/or hosted on servers and/or mainframes supporting Navy applications and systems are registered in DADMS and are FAM approved.

## 6.6 TASK ORDER ADMINISTRATION

### 6.6.1 Basic Contract Requirement

In accordance with the basic contract PWS and the requirements of this task order PWS, the contractor shall develop and submit documentation (see CDRL under Para 12.1.1) for TO administration.

## 7.0 GOVERNMENT FURNISHED INFORMATION (GFI)

No GFI will be provided on this TO.

## 8.0 GOVERNMENT FURNISHED PROPERTY (GFP)

### 8.1 GOVERNMENT FURNISHED EQUIPMENT (GFE)

No GFE will be provided on this TO.

### 8.2 GOVERNMENT FURNISHED MATERIAL (GFM)

No GFM will be provided on this TO

## 9.0 CONTRACTOR ACQUIRED PROPERTY (CAP)

### 9.1 CONTRACTOR ACQUIRED EQUIPMENT (CAE)

No CAE is allowed on this TO

### 9.2 CONTRACTOR ACQUIRED MATERIAL (CAM)

No CAM is allowed on this TO

## 10.0 TRAVEL

# TRIPS	# PEOPLE	# DAYS/NIGHTS	FROM (LOCATION)	TO (LOCATION)
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	Virginia Beach, VA
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	Norfolk, VA
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	Suffolk, VA
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	San Diego, CA
1	1	10	Charleston, SC	Barking Sands, HI
1	1	10	Charleston, SC	Pearl Harbor, HI
1	1	10	Charleston, SC	Naples, Italy
1	1	10	Charleston, SC	Gaeta, Italy
1	1	10	Charleston, SC	Manama, Bahrain
1	1	10	Charleston, SC	Yokosuka, Japan
1	1	10	Charleston, SC	Sasebo, Japan
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	Seattle, WA
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	Groton, CT
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	Kings Bay, GA
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	Mayport, FL
1	1	10	Charleston, SC	Rota, Spain
1	1	10	Charleston, SC	Souda Bay, Greece
1	1	10	Charleston, SC	Sigonella, Italy
1	1	10	Charleston, SC	Atsugi, Japan
1	1	10	Charleston, SC	Misawa, Japan
1	1	10	Charleston, SC	Okinawa, Japan
1	1	10	Charleston, SC	Guam
1	1	10	Charleston, SC	Chinhae, Korea
1	1	10	Charleston, SC	Diego Garcia
1	1	10	Charleston, SC	Sembawang, Singapore
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	New Orleans, LA

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 8 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	-----------------	-------

# TRIPS	# PEOPLE	# DAYS/NIGHTS	FROM (LOCATION)	TO (LOCATION)
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	Newport News, VA
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	Washington DC
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	Mobile, AL
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	Newport, RI
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	Bath, ME
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	Thailand
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	Manila, Philippines
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	Gdynia, Poland
1	1	5	Charleston, SC	Bucharest, Romania

For estimating purposes, it is anticipated that the travel requirements noted below shall be required. The proposed estimated Travel cost cannot exceed the not-to-exceed (NTE) value cited in the applicable pricing model. Travel estimates are in accordance with the latest Joint Travel Regulations (JTR) for DoD Civilian Personnel. Although estimated sites are listed, contractor shall be prepared to travel to any of the following sites: Norfolk VA, San Diego CA, Barking Sands HI, Pearl Harbor HI, New Orleans LA, Groton CT, Seattle WA, Newport News VA, Washington DC, Mobile AL, Newport RI, Bath ME. Travel to foreign countries outside of the continental United States (OCONUS) is required. The applicable countries include the following: Japan, Korea, Singapore, Thailand, Philippines, Guam, Diego Garcia, Bahrain, Italy, Spain, Greece, Poland, and Romania. Prior to travel, the contractor shall meet all necessary travel requirements for their company and personnel to support work in the noted foreign OCONUS sites.

#### 11.0 TRANSPORTATION OF EQUIPMENT/MATERIAL

No transportation of equipment/material is required by the contractor on this TO

#### 12.0 DELIVERABLES

##### 12.1 CONTRACT DATA REQUIREMENTS LIST (CDRL)

##### 12.1.1 Administrative CDRL

As required under TO PWS Para 6.4, the following table lists all required administrative data deliverables, Contract Data Requirements Lists (CDRLs), applicable to this task:

CDRL #	Deliverable Title	TO PWS Reference Para	Frequency	Date Due
A002	Task Order Status Report	6.6.1	MTHLY	30 Days after TO award (DATO) and monthly on the 10th
A005	Task Order Closeout Report	6.6.1	1 TIME	NLT 15 days before completion date
A003	Cyber Security Workforce (CSWF) Report	6.6.1	MTHLY	30 DATO and monthly on the 10th
A004	Contractor's Manpower Report	6.6.1	QRTLY	15 Jan, 15 Apr, 15 Jul, & 15 Oct
A016	Invoice Support Documentation	6.6.1	ASREQ	Within 24 hrs from request
A017	Limitation Notification & Rationale	6.6.1	ASREQ	Within 24 hrs from occurrence
A009	Contractor CPARS Draft Approval Document (CDAD) Report	6.6.1	MTHLY	30 DATO and monthly on the 10 <sup>th</sup>
A008	Cost and Milestones Schedule Plan	6.6.1	One time with revisions (ONE/R)	NLT 10 DATO
A010	OCONUS Deployment Documentation and Package	6.6.1	1TIME	NLT 7 DATO
A021	Technical/Analysis Report, General	6.1.7, 6.1.8, 6.1.9, 6.1.12, 6.1.13, 6.1.15, 6.2.3, 6.3.1	ASREQ	Within 24 hrs from request

#### 13.0 SUBCONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS

Subcontracting requirements are in accordance with the basic contract. Note: If a prime contractor plans to utilize subcontractor(s) on this Task Order, the prime must specify in their proposal the intent to utilize subcontractors and list all applicable subcontractor names. Per clause 52.244-2, if a subcontractor (includes tier 1, tier 2, tier 3, etc.) is proposed by a prime and is not approved on the basic contract, formal justification is required and subject to government approval.

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 9 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	-----------------	-------

#### **14.0 ACCEPTANCE PLAN**

Inspection and acceptance is performed by the COR on all services, data, and non-data deliverables in accordance with the Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP), Attachment 1.

#### **15.0 OTHER CONDITIONS/REQUIREMENTS**

##### **15.1 CYBERSECURITY WORKFORCE DESIGNATION**

Labor Categories below are identified as part of the Cyber Security Workforce(CSWF) and shall be certified/credentialed for the CSWF IAW SECNAVINST 5510.30A.

- Subject Matter Expert (SME) 5
- Subject Matter Expert (SME) 4
- Technical Analyst 4
- Technical Analyst 3
- Technical Writer/ Editor 4
- Management Analyst 3

##### **15.3 OVERTIME HOURS**

Overtime for Service Contract Act (SCA) labor categories is allowed on this task order in accordance with the identified labor categories and estimated labor hours specified in the pricing model. Prior to overtime hours being worked, the contractor shall obtain COR concurrence for the specific hours per labor category and applicable dates. The contractor shall not exceed the estimated overtime total cost associated with the allowable hours as identified at time of TO award.

#### **16.0 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment 1 – Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP)

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 10 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------

## **SECTION F DELIVERABLES OR PERFORMANCE**

CLIN - DELIVERIES OR PERFORMANCE

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 11 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------

## SECTION G CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION DATA

Brian K Drake, 58400  
P.O. Box 190022  
North Charleston, SC 29419-9022  
brian.k.drake@navy.mil  
843-218-7775

### 5252.232-9208 INVOICING INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICES USING WIDE AREA WORK FLOW (WAWF) (APR 2009)

(a) Invoices for services rendered under this contract shall be submitted electronically through the Wide Area Work Flow-Receipt and Acceptance (WAWF). The contractor shall submit invoices for payment per contract terms. The Government shall process invoices for payment per contract terms.

(b) The vendor shall have their Cage Code activated by calling 1-866-618-5988 and selecting option 2. Once activated, the vendor shall self-register at the WAWF website at <https://wawf.eb.mil>. Vendor training is available on the internet at <https://wawftraining.eb.mil>. WAWF Vendor "Quick Reference" Guides are located at the following web site: [http://acquisition.navy.mil/rda/home/acquisition\\_one\\_source/ebusiness/don\\_ebusiness\\_solutions/wawf\\_overview/vendor\\_information](http://acquisition.navy.mil/rda/home/acquisition_one_source/ebusiness/don_ebusiness_solutions/wawf_overview/vendor_information)

(c) Cost back-up documentation (such as delivery receipts, labor hours & material/travel costs etc.) shall be included and attached to the invoice in WAWF. Attachments created with any Microsoft Office product or Adobe (.pdf files) are attachable to the invoice in WAWF. The total size limit for files per invoice is 5 megabytes. A separate copy shall be sent to the COR

(d) Contractors approved by DCAA for direct billing will not process vouchers through DCAA, but may submit directly to DFAS. Vendors MUST still provide a copy of the invoice and any applicable cost back-up documentation supporting payment to the Acceptor/Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) if applicable. Additionally, a copy of the invoice(s) and attachment(s) at time of submission in WAWF shall also be provided to each point of contact identified in section (g) of this clause by email. If the invoice and/or receiving report are delivered in the email as an attachment it must be provided as a .PDF, Microsoft Office product or other mutually agreed upon form between the Contracting Officer and vendor.

(e) A separate invoice will be prepared no more frequently than for every two weeks. Do not combine the payment claims for services provided under this contract.

(f) The following information is provided for completion and routing of the invoice in

#### WAWF: Cost Contract Supply/ Service WAWF Table

Invoice Type	Cost Vouchers
Issue by	N65236
Admin by	DCMA
DCAA Auditor DoDAAC :	DCAA
Service Approver DoDAAC :	DCMA
PAY DODAAC	DFAS
Acceptor e-mail	

(g) After submitting the document(s) to WAWF, click on "Send More Email Notifications" and add the acceptor/receiver email addresses noted below in the email address blocks. The contractor shall, at a minimum, include the COR, Receiver, and Acceptor. This additional notification to the government is necessary to ensure that the acceptor/receiver is aware that the invoice documents have been submitted into WAWF: Send Additional Email Notification(s) to:

Name	Email	Phone	Role
		(843) 218-	IPT Lead
		(843) 218-	COR

The contractor shall cite on each invoice/voucher, in addition to all other requirements of this contract/order, the contract line item number (CLIN); the contract subtitle item number (SLIN) and accounting classification reference number (ACRN) for the portion, or portions of work being billed as specified in the contract or delivery order. For each ACRN on the invoice/voucher, the contractor shall identify the amount being billed against that ACRN.

### DELIVERY ORDER LIMITATIONS OF COST/FUNDS (DEC 1999)

In accordance with the FAR Clause 52.232-20, "Limitation of Cost," or 52.232-22 "Limitation of Funds," the Government shall not be obligated to reimburse the Contractor for work performed, items delivered, or any costs incurred under orders issued under the resultant contract, except as authorized by the contracting officer.

The cost factors utilized in determining the estimated costs under any order placed hereunder shall be the applicable rates current at the time of issuance of the task or delivery order, not to exceed, however, any ceilings established by the terms of this contract.

If at any time 75% of either the estimated cost or estimated level of effort specified in the task or delivery order is reached and it appears that additional funds and/or level of effort is required to complete performance, the Contractor shall promptly notify the Ordering Officer in writing. Such notification shall include the cost and level of effort expended and that which will be required to complete performance. The Government shall have the right to

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 12 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------

modify the task or delivery order accordingly.

If the Contractor exceeds the estimated costs authorized by task or delivery order placed hereunder, the Government will be responsible only for reimbursement of the cost and payment of fee in an amount up to that established by the task or delivery order.

The total amount of all task or delivery orders issued shall not exceed the estimated costs and fixed fee or level of effort set forth in this contract.

(End of clause)

The contractor shall cite on each invoice/voucher, in addition to all other requirements of this contract/order, the contract line item number (CLIN); the contract subline item number (SLIN) and accounting classification reference number (ACRN) for the portion, or portions of work being billed as specified in the contract or delivery order. For each ACRN on the invoice/voucher, the contractor shall identify the amount being billed against that ACRN.

Invoices shall be submitted in accordance with Wide Area Work Flow as annotated by the basic contract.

The contractor will electronically submit his invoices using the Wide Area Workflow (WAWF) web site located at

<https://wawf.eb.mil>.

The telephone number for assistance pertaining to Wide Area Work Flow is 800-559-9293.

#### CLAUSES INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE :

252.204-0011 Contract-wide: Proration SEP 2009

The payment office shall make payment from each ACRN within the contract or order in the same proportion as the amount of funding currently unliquidated for each ACRN.

#### LIMITATION OF LIABILITY – INCREMENTAL FUNDING

This delivery order is incrementally funded and the amount currently available for payment hereunder is limited to \$000,000.00. It is estimated that these funds will cover the cost of performance through 30 September 2014. Subject to the provisions of the clause entitled "Limitation of Funds" (APR 94) (FAR 52.232-22) of the general provisions of this contract, no legal liability on the part of the Government for payment in excess of \$000,000.00 shall arise unless additional funds are made available and are incorporated as a modification to the delivery order.

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 13 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------

## **SECTION J LIST OF ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment 2 Prime Proposal Format

Attachment 3 QASP

Attachment 4 OCI Statement

Attachment 5 Sub Proposal Format

Attachment 6 -- Proposed Subcontractor Checklist

Attachment 1 Reference Information Sheet (1A and 1B)

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 14 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------

## SECTION L INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS, AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS

### SECTION L – Instructions, Conditions and Notices to Offerors

#### **52.215-20 REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFIED COST OR PRICING DATA AND DATA OTHER THAN CERTIFIED COST OR PRICING DATA, ALTERNATE IV (OCT 2010)**

(a) Submission of certified cost or pricing data is not required.

(b) Provide data described below:

The offeror shall submit other than cost or pricing data and supporting information prepared in accordance with the Pricing Model provided as Attachment 3 and directions therein.

The offeror shall submit data other than certified cost or pricing data and supporting information prepared in accordance with Attachments 3, Prime and Subcontractor Pricing Models, and directions therein (detailed instructions are provided in the Pricing Models). The Pricing Models contain all of the labor categories and hours that the Government has anticipated for use under this effort. Offerors are to complete the Pricing Models with the information outlined below, and this information will be used as a mechanism to evaluate cost for the performance.

*NOTE: Offerors shall not make changes to the Pricing Model Format and shall not deviate from the government provided hours or labor categories/mix. Offerors are advised not to make changes to the Pricing Model Format, except for changes required to accommodate individual accounting system requirements. If changes are made to the pricing model, the offeror making any change(s) SHALL indicate changes have been made and detail/explain the accounting requirement necessitating each change.*

#### **PART I--COST LABOR INFORMATION:**

(1) Current, actual unloaded rates are to be submitted for any “proposed individual.” A “*proposed individual*” is defined as a current employee specifically proposed to perform an estimated number of hours for a labor category. In accordance with the offeror’s disclosed estimating system practices, average unloaded direct labor rates may be submitted for all remaining labor categories/hours, i.e. those labor categories/hours not accounted for under the proposed individual’s data. Do not submit composite rates encompassing more than one labor category. Offerors should include additional elements such as overtime rates/hours, premium time rates/hours, etc. as applicable.

*NOTE: All labor categories shall be escalated for the option years. Offerors shall use an annual labor escalation rate of 2.5% for each option year for the Service Contract Act (SCA) labor categories, which are subject to the applicable wage determinations, for cost realism purposes. For the non-SCA (exempt) labor categories, offerors shall use actuals, if known, or rates based on historical data, standard practice, or those accepted by DCAA for bidding purposes. The narrative to the Business Proposal must include supporting rationale for the escalation rate proposed.*

(2) As discussed in the FAR 52.237-10 “Identification of Uncompensated Overtime” provision, actual hourly rates submitted shall be derived by dividing the proposed individual’s actual annual salary by 2080 hours, which is based on a 40 hour work week. Any uncompensated overtime proposed shall be clearly identified within your cost proposal and will be evaluated in accordance with the FAR 52.237-10 “Identification of Uncompensated Overtime” provision in Section L of this solicitation.

(3) All labor categories to be used in the performance of the proposed contract shall be included in the Pricing Model. All hours required by the solicitation and proposed shall be accounted for in the Pricing Model.

#### **PART II--REQUIREMENT FOR INFORMATION OTHER THAN COST AND PRICING DATA:**

In accordance with FAR 15.403-3(b), the following information as prescribed below is required for the purposes of assisting the Contracting Officer in determining the cost realism of competing proposals. The terms “Cost Realism” and “Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data” are defined in FAR 2.101.

(1) **DIRECT LABOR** – Identify the various labor categories required/intended for use under this contract including the number of labor hours, labor rates and total cost for each labor category proposed for each year of the contract.



SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 15 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------

The labor specified under this category shall only be for the prime contractor's direct labor and shall not include any subcontracted labor (*see "Subcontracted Labor" below*). **For the SCA categories in Section C of the basic contract, offerors are required to comply with the appropriate SCA occupation code cross-references as set forth in the Section C labor categories.** If this solicitation requires work to be performed at both the contractor and Government sites, then the proposal must include your company policy concerning any stipulations as to when Government site / Contractor site rates are effective.

(1A) **TRIPWIRE GUIDANCE Labor Rates** - Excessive rates prior to Award: When proposed fully burdened labor rates that are in excess of \$150.00/hour in any labor category, the SSC LANT Contract Negotiator and Evaluation Authority shall address these rates with the cost and technical evaluation teams and document analysis of this issue within the Best Value Determination. Excessive rates and rationale for these labor categories should be fully explained in the Cover Letter provided with the proposal. (Note: Rationale only required if more than 500 hours are being proposed in that labor category.)

(2) **FRINGE BENEFITS** – If applicable and in accordance with your normal accounting procedures, identify the fringe benefit rate(s) and total fringe benefit cost being proposed and identify the cost elements for which the fringe benefit rate is being applied.

(3) **OVERHEAD** – Identify the current and/or projected overhead rate(s) and total overhead cost being proposed under this solicitation and identify the various cost elements for which overhead is being applied.

(4) **SUBCONTRACTED LABOR** – Identify, if applicable, any proposed subcontracting labor intended for use under this contract. Identify the labor categories for which subcontracting is being proposed and include the subcontractor's direct labor rates, number of hours proposed for each labor category, fringe benefits, overhead, G&A, fee, etc., that have been submitted by the subcontractor to the prime contractor for consideration under this contract. **For the SCA categories in Section C of the basic contract, offerors are required to comply with the appropriate SCA occupation code cross-references as set forth in the Section C labor categories.** This information may be submitted by the subcontractor under separate cover directly to the Contracting Officer.

(4A) **Subcontractors** – All subcontract(s) are required to be approved in accordance with FAR Part 44.201. If a new subcontractor is being proposed on this requirement, the contractor must provide the required documentation. The information provided must clearly support that the proposed subcontract(s) are appropriate for the tasks involved and consistent with current policy and sound business judgment. (See Attachment 4).

**NOTE:** Any subcontractor that is proposed without assigned labor hours, regardless if labor rates are provided, is considered an "unpriced" subcontractor and will not be included as part of the evaluation nor will they be an approved subcontractor in any resulting award.

(5) **OTHER:**

A. **DIRECT COST** – Identify any other direct cost elements being proposed which are not included above but are applicable to your cost proposal (e.g., royalties, Special Tooling, Material, Travel, Computer Usage, etc.). *Refer to Clause L-329 Other Direct Costs paragraph (g).* Include the basis for the proposed amount. The decision as to whether costs are handled as direct or indirect costs rests with the offeror, but shall be consistent with the offeror's approved cost accounting practices as disclosed in the Offeror's Disclosure Statement, or consistent with the Offeror's established accounting practices if the Offeror is not required to submit a Disclosure Statement.

B. **INDIRECT COST** – Identify any other indirect cost element (e.g., Facilities Capital Cost of Money) being proposed which has not been included above and identify the various cost elements for which the rate is applied. Advise if the rates proposed are in accordance with any Forward Pricing Rate Agreements and period of the agreement.

(6) **GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE** – Identify the General and Administrative Expense (G&A) rate(s) and the total G&A cost proposed and identify the various cost elements for which the G&A is being applied.

(7) **FEE** – Identify the fee rate, total amount proposed, and the cost elements on which the fee is applied. Refer to **Clause 5252.216-9205 (VAR), Fee Determination and Payment (Indefinite Delivery Type Contract) Variation**. The cost breakdown shall indicate the offeror's total estimated proposed price for each year and the cumulative proposed price for all years. Any information submitted must support the cost proposed. Include

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 16 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------

sufficient detail or cross references to clearly establish the relationship of the information provided to the cost proposed. Support any information provided by explanations or supporting rationale, as needed to permit the Contracting Officer and authorized representatives to evaluate the documentation. The offeror is to identify its cognizant Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) and Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA) Offices providing the following for each cognizant office:

- (a) Point of Contact Name,
- (b) Address,
- (c) Telephone Number,
- (d) FAX Number, and
- (e) E-mail address

#### **52.233-2 SERVICE OF PROTEST (SEP 2006)**

(a) Protests, as defined in section 31.101 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation, that are filed directly with an agency, and copies of any protests that are filed with the Government Accountability Office (GAO), shall be served on the Contracting Officer ([William.tobin@navy.mil](mailto:William.tobin@navy.mil)) by obtaining written and dated acknowledgment of receipt from Space and Naval Warfare System Atlantic, Code 2221GM, P.O. Box 190022, North Charleston, SC 29419-9022.

(b) The copy of any protest shall be received in the office designated above within one day of filing a protest with the GAO.

#### **52.252-1 SOLICITATION PROVISIONS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE (FEB 1998)**

This solicitation incorporates one or more solicitation provisions by reference, with the same force and effect as if they were given in full text. Upon request, the Contracting Officer will make their full text available. The Offeror is cautioned that the listed provisions may include blocks that must be completed by the Offeror and submitted with its quotation or offer. In lieu of submitting the full text of those provisions, the Offeror may identify the provision by paragraph identifier and provide the appropriate information with its quotation or offer. Also, the full text of a solicitation provision may be accessed electronically at this/these address(es):

Internet address: <http://farsite.hill.af.mil/>

#### **52.252-5 AUTHORIZED DEVIATIONS IN PROVISIONS (APR 1984)**

(a) The use in any solicitation of any Federal Acquisition Regulation (48 CFR Chapter 1) Provision with an authorized deviation is indicated by the addition of "(DEVIATION)" after the date of the provision.

(b) The use in this solicitation of any Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (48 CFR Chapter 2) provision with an authorized deviation is indicated by the addition of "(DEVIATION)" after the name of the regulation.

### **L-317 VAR1 SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS (VARIATION)**

#### **(a) Proposal Organization**

Proposals shall be submitted in two volumes, one volume containing the "Other Factors" proposal, and the second volume containing the "Cost Proposal."

#### **(b) Proposal Format**

In addition to all other requirements of this solicitation, each offeror shall demonstrate its capability by means of a detailed "Other Factors" proposal in each of the areas indicated in Sections L and M of the solicitation. This information shall be presented in the form of a written proposal as outlined below.

Proposals submitted for consideration for award must address the full scope of requirements as set forth in Sections L and M of the solicitation. Proposals which fail to address all applicable requirements will be considered unacceptable.

The offeror shall submit its proposal in the following format:

<b>Volume I</b>	<b>Cover letter</b>	<b>Electronic File</b>	<b>Electronic Submission</b>	<b>FORMAT</b>
-----------------	---------------------	------------------------	------------------------------	---------------

**NOTE: Failure to**

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 17 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------

	should address	Name		
Signed and Dated Cover Letter	-Summary of documents submitted in response to proposal  -Any assumptions or clarifications for the proposal	CovLetter	2 pages maximum:  Proposal shall remain valid for 120 days from RFP close.	Acrobat (.pdf) or MS Word format
Volume I	Other Factors	Electronic File Name	Electronic Submission	FORMAT
FACTOR A	Technical Capability	TechCap	Technical Capability Narrative addressing the technical sub-factors. (Limit of 4 pages per sub-factor) <b>Reference Information Sheet – Technical Capability (Attachment 1(A), 1(B))</b>	Acrobat (.pdf) or MS Word format
Volume II	Business Proposal	Electronic File Name	Electronic Submission	FORMAT
FACTOR B	Cost/Price Proposal	Cost Proposal	Cover Letter and Business Proposal Narrative ( <u>Limit 40 pages</u> ) to include:  (1) Cost Narrative (2) Team Summary Chart (Proposed Team Members)  ----- Signed/Completed Solicitation/RFP and Amendments  ----- Section B Schedule of Supplies or Services  ----- Prime Contractor Pricing Model, Attachment 2	Acrobat (.pdf) or MS Word format [Cover Letter/ Business Narrative]  MS Excel Spreadsheet; .xls format [Pricing Model(s)]

*submit complete*

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 18 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------

			----- Subcontractor Pricing Model (if applicable) Attachment 5 ----- Subcontractor Checklist (if adding a new subcontractor), Attachment 6 ----- DCMA/DCAA Correspondence ----- Conflict of Interest Certification and Disclosure Statement, Attachment 4	
<p align="center"><b>All excel files shall be a functional spreadsheet with formulas, NOT a read-only spreadsheet. Original PDF files shall be searchable.</b></p>				

*information in the manner above may result in the exclusion of the proposal from further consideration.*

**(c) General Information**

Technical and Price/Cost proposals shall be separate documents and consist of the following:

NOTE: The technical proposals must not contain any reference to cost information.

The Government intends to evaluate proposals in accordance with 5252.216-9217 DELIVERY/TASK ORDER PROCEDURES (AUG 2011) ALT III of the basic MAC. The government intends to award a single Task Order based on initial proposals. Therefore, the Offeror's initial proposal should contain the Offeror's best terms from a cost/price and technical standpoint. However, in accordance with clause 5252.216-9217 DELIVERY/TASK ORDER PROCEDURES (AUG 2011) ALT III of the basic MAC, the Government may contact any or all of offerors with questions concerning their responses as permitted under FAR Part 16.

**(d) Proposal Content**

A concise and comprehensive proposal is desired. Organization, clarity, accuracy of information, relevance, and completeness are of prime importance. Statements such as "will comply" or "noted and understood" without supporting narrative to define compliance are not acceptable. cursory responses or responses which merely reiterate or reformulate solicitation language will not be considered as satisfying the requirements of the RFP or as demonstrating the ability to perform. Elaborate brochures or other presentations beyond that sufficient to present a complete and effective proposal are not desired. Elaborate artwork and expensive visual or other presentation aids are not necessary.

**TECHNICAL PROPOSAL CONTENT (VOLUME I)**

The Technical Proposal shall consist of the information specified for each evaluation factor and sub factor listed below. No cost or pricing information shall be included in the Technical Proposal.

**FACTOR A- TECHNICAL CAPABILITY**

The offeror's team (prime and subcontractors) shall submit the information below pertaining to relevant Technical Capability, based on a offeror's Corporate Experience, using the Reference Information Sheets provided as Attachment 1(Attachments 1A and 1B). Offerors are to address all Sub-factors listed on Attachment 1B, Reference Information Sheet-Contract Specific Data. Offerors shall submit data on current contracts performed by the offeror and its proposed significant subcontractors (if applicable) for efforts similar and relevant to the requirements of this Request for Proposal. A significant subcontractor is defined as a subcontractor that will be performing at least 20% of the total proposed labor hours. Contractor references shall be submitted for each entity of the offeror's team performing 20% or more of the total proposed labor hours. Current is defined as a contract/task order performed within the last three (3) years. Relevant is defined as a contract and/or task order with similar scope and magnitude

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 19 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------

to the requirements of this Request for Proposal. The more relevant and similar the referenced work performed is to the requirements, the more valuable the experience is to the Government. This data shall be submitted for at least one, but no more than a total of five, of the most current and relevant contracts and/or task orders for the team (prime and subcontractors). At least one contract reference must be submitted by the prime contractor. (Note: Orders under the same IDIQ or GWAC contract may be combined and submitted as one reference.)

If you do not have relevant Federal Government contracts, then provide data on state and local government or commercial contracts, in that order, to complete this report.

Technical Capability reference data (and associated past performance information) is limited to companies that are expected to perform this contract effort, i.e., included in the technical and cost/price proposal data submission with assigned labor category hours and teaming agreements. Technical Capability reference data for any company, division, subsidiary, etc., that is not included in the technical and cost/price proposal for this contract effort will not be considered. Offerors are reminded that in accordance with the requirements for the Business Proposal content, written teaming agreements covering other proposed companies, divisions, subsidiaries, etc. of the prime or significant subcontractor(s) must be provided. The **prime contractor** is considered to be the organization that signs and submits the proposal. The Government must be ensured that the prime contractor will be the organization performing the work. CAUTION: It is important to this evaluation that the contract reference(s) submitted represent the technical capability/experience of the same company(s) proposed to perform this effort. Therefore, the government will be comparing the company name(s) cited on the submitted contract reference(s) against the company name(s) cited in the technical and cost/price proposals. In cases where there is any variance between the actual company name(s) cited on the submitted contract reference(s) and the company name(s) identified in the technical and cost/price proposals, the prime contractor MUST provide rationale that clearly identifies the correlation and/or association of the company(s). This rationale is to be provided in the Business Proposal submission. The government will review the rationale and determine if clear correlation and/or association can be made between the company name(s) cited on the submitted contract reference(s) and the technical and cost/price proposals. In cases where such clear correlation and/or association cannot be made, the contract reference(s) will not be considered.

#### **Factor A: Technical Capability**

The offeror shall describe its experience and technical capability relative to accomplishing the objectives in accordance with the Performance Work Statement (PWS). The technical capability description shall address the following:

Offeror shall demonstrate experience and capability in the fielding and sustainment of the Computer Network Defense (CND) Shore AN/FYC – 23(V) and Afloat AN/UYQ-96(V) system on the IT-21 and ONENET networks and associated technologies and capabilities in Tables 1 and 2 of the PWS or similar systems or networks (PWS Paragraph 6.1.1-16).

#### **FACTOR B: COST/PRICE PROPOSAL**

The offeror's Cost Proposal shall consist of the following:

- (1) Cover Letter and Business Proposal Narrative to include:
  - Cost Narrative
  - Identification of DCAA and DCMA, name, address, telephone number, fax number, and email address
  - Team Summary Chart (Proposed Team Members, Business Size, General Description of Planned Tasking)
- (2) Signed and completed Solicitation/RFP and Amendments (if applicable);
- (3) Prices required by Section B;
- (4) Pricing Model for primes and all subcontractors (electronic spreadsheet in accordance with Attachments 2 for the prime, and Attachment 5 for subcontractors, if required) with an explanation of the Cost Proposal as outlined in Alternate IV to the FAR 52.215-20 "Requirements for Certified Cost or Pricing Data and Data Other Than Certified Cost or Pricing Data" provision. The explanatory information is to be included in the introductory narrative to the

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 20 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------

Business Proposal. Also include any data required by FAR 52.215-1(c)(2), "Information to Offerors-Competitive Acquisition." Pricing Model is also to include Professional Employee Compensation Plan data and a statement of the offeror's policy regarding Uncompensated Overtime (*if any*) *Ref FAR 52.237-10*.

The Pricing Models contain the exact mix of labor categories and hours that the Government has anticipated for use under this effort. Offerors are to complete the Pricing Models using the established, Government-provided labor categories and hours and provide labor rates and total costs for the labor categories and labor hours identified for each period of performance for the task order. Offerors shall not make changes to the Pricing Model Format and shall not deviate from the Government-provided hours and labor mix.

- (5) The prime contractor's cost and price analysis of the subcontractor's proposed costs/price must be part of the cost proposal. The prime contractor must justify the amounts to which it has agreed, and demonstrate that it has complied with the pricing policies in FAR Subpart 15.4. The prime contractor will have to justify both the negotiated costs and profit or fee.
- (6) Organizational Conflict of Interest Certification and Disclosure Statement (Attachment 6). Reference *provision L-339*;
- (7) Proposed Subcontractor Checklist (Attachment 6) for companies not identified under clause 52.244-2(j) of the Offeror's basic contract.
- (8) Copies of correspondence from DCAA or DCMA regarding the most recent approval of rates and/or systems, such as Forward Pricing Rate Agreements (FPRAs), Provisional Billing Rates (PBRs) and Accounting System Approval. *Ref FAR 52.215-20 Alt IV*.
- (9) Prime/Subcontractor Teaming Disclosure Information (if applicable). *Reference provision L-317(c) General Information*;

## **L-328 ESTIMATED LABOR HOURS**

The quantities of labor hours for each labor category shown in the Pricing Model, Attachment 2, which are to be used by the Offeror for computing total labor costs, represent the Government's current best estimate of requirements. However, the Government can guarantee neither the estimated quantities of labor hours shown for individual labor categories nor the total labor hours. It is recognized that some of the Labor Category titles used in the solicitation may not exactly match the titles normally used in a particular company operation. Accordingly, in order to permit a rapid comparison, each proposal must provide the following:

- (1) Pricing Model, including labor categories (established in the basic contract);
- (2) A statement of any additional labor categories, estimated hours and related qualifications for labor cost that will be a direct cost based on the offeror's accounting procedures (e.g. management and administrative labor costs.) The offeror's failure to identify or cost such additional labor categories shall result in those labor categories being deemed as mutually agreed upon unallowable costs pursuant to the provisions of FAR 31.201-6(a).

## **L-329 OTHER DIRECT COST**

- a. The Government's best estimate of Other Direct Cost (ODC) items is set forth in the Pricing Model, Attachment 2. Within the Pricing Model, the Government will identify anticipated materials inclusive of quantities and total costs. The Government will also identify the anticipated travel requirements and estimated travel costs associated with the performance of the work. The Government cannot guarantee either the amount for each category or the total estimated amount. Additionally, these amounts do not include any provision for G&A expense or other loading factors.
- b. Offerors shall use the estimated travel amount and estimated material amount as set forth in the pricing model in the preparation of their cost proposals. If the offeror has reason to believe that either the travel or material amounts estimated by the Government are understated or overstated, the offeror shall notify the Contracting Officer in writing **prior to the closing of the question and answer period**. Within such notification, the offeror shall provide a revised estimate(s) and a detailed basis for the revision(s). If the Government finds the rationale to be sound/compelling, it will amend the solicitation accordingly, and all offerors shall use such revised amounts in their proposals. If the Government finds that the estimated amount(s) reflected in the offerors notification is not valid, the solicitation will not be amended and all offerors shall use the amount(s) reflected in the pricing model in their proposal.

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 21 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------

- c. If, based upon the offeror's accounting system or disclosure statement, the offeror believes the amounts estimated by the Government are overstated (e.g., an item is included as an element of an indirect expense pool), the offeror may reduce the amount(s). If such a reduction is made, the offeror as part of the initial cost proposal shall provide a detailed explanation/justification to substantiate the reduction. The Government reserves the right to discount any assessment if the basis for the reduction is deemed to be unrealistic or inadequate.
- d. Failure of other direct cost categories/amounts, either as stated herein or proposed, to materialize during actual contract performance, shall not constitute a constructive change to or breach of the contract and shall not form the basis for any adjustment to fee whether pursuant to the changes clause or otherwise.
- e. Similarly, the fact that the ODCs in excess of those estimated or proposed are incurred, shall not form the basis for any fee adjustment, whether pursuant to the changes clause or otherwise.
- f. Consistent with Provision 52.215-20, Part II (5)A, the offeror shall identify and propose cost for additional elements of direct cost (i.e. computer usage, reproduction cost, etc.) to be incurred during the performance of the proposed contract consistent with the offeror's Disclosure Statement or the offeror's established accounting practices (if not required to submit a Disclosure Statement). The offeror's failure to identify and cost such additional cost elements shall result in those cost elements being deemed as mutually agreed upon unallowable cost pursuant to the provisions of FAR 31.201-6(a).
- g. Given the uniqueness of each offeror's cost accounting system and the fact that offerors have the latitude to obtain any space/facilities they deem necessary for successful completion of the work in any manner (rental/lease or use of owned and capitalized facilities/space) consistent with the Cost Accounting Standards (CAS) and their established accounting practices, the pricing model does not provide an estimated amount for the direct charge of space/facilities related costs. In the event a offeror determines it necessary to obtain space/facilities in order to meet the requirements of the PWS, and, consistent with CAS and their established accounting practices, it is determined to be appropriate for such cost to be included as a direct cost of the work, the cost shall be included in the Miscellaneous ODCs section of the ODCs tab of the pricing model, and shall be in addition to amounts proposed for travel and material. The offeror's failure to identify and cost such space/facility related costs shall result in such costs being deemed as mutually agreed upon unallowable cost pursuant to the provisions of FAR 31.201-6(a).

#### **L-335 ESTIMATED EFFECTIVE AWARD DATE**

For Proposal purposes the estimated effective date of task order award is September 2015.

#### **L-339 NOTICE OF ORGANIZATIONAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST (DEC 1999)**

- (a) The Offeror's attention is directed to FAR Subpart 9.5 as the basic contract contains a clause in Section H relating to organizational conflicts of interest and is applicable to this solicitation.
- (b), Prospective Offerors are required to furnish with their proposals information that may have a bearing on any existing or potential conflict of interest.

*Note: Attachment 6, Organizational Conflict of Interest Instructions and Certification and Disclosure Statement must be completed and included as part of the proposal submission. Failure to complete and include this form in the proposal submission may result in the Offeror being determined ineligible for award. Moreover, the omission or misrepresentation of any OCI pertaining to this procurement shall also result in the disqualification of the Offeror for award.*

#### **L-349 SUBMISSION OF ELECTRONIC PROPOSALS (MAR 2001) – ALTERNATE I (MAR 2002)**

- (a) Offerors (prime and all subcontractors) shall submit their proposals electronically to SPAWAR Systems Center Atlantic under the instructions contained in this provision. Proposals (including "no bids") are due no later than **14:00 11 September 2015**. Prime contractors SHALL UPLOAD THEIR PROPOSAL VIA THE SEAPORT-O PORTAL, AND THE PROPOSAL MUST BE RECEIVED IN SEAPORT-O BY SAID DATE AND TIME.

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 22 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------

Proposals will be considered “late” unless the offeror COMPLETELY uploads the proposal to the SEAPORT-O portal prior to the closing date and time for receipt of proposals under this solicitation.

Subcontractors shall submit their unsanitized proposal directly to the Contracting Officer’s email address at [william.tobin@navy.mil](mailto:william.tobin@navy.mil). Subcontractors shall send a sanitized proposal directly to the Prime. The Prime shall use the information in the subcontractor’s sanitized proposal to include in the Prime’s pricing model. The Prime shall not include a copy of the subcontractor’s sanitized proposal in their proposal submission. At the time subcontractors submit their proposal electronically, they shall state the following in their email submission:

Subject: (Insert Subcontractor Name) Proposal Submission

In the body of the email the subcontractor shall state:

“(Insert Subcontractor name) is pleased to submit this proposal as a subcontractor for (Insert Prime contractor name) for solicitation (enter title of the solicitation).”

(b) Each electronic file shall be clearly named in accordance with solicitation provision L-317. Electronic proposals shall not contain classified data. The offeror’s electronic proposal shall be in accordance with the requirements set forth below:

- In order to facilitate transmission, it is recommended that proposal submission files be compressed (zipped) into one, ZIP file entitled “PROPOSAL.PIZ” using WinZip version 6.3 or greater.
- SPAWAR Atlantic’s email systems will not accept a .ZIP file, so zipped files must be named with a .PIZ in lieu of .ZIP

(c) No paper submission will be accepted for this solicitation. Proposals submitted electronically will be considered late unless the offeror completes the entire transmission of the proposal prior to the closing date and time for receipt of proposals under this solicitation.

(d) The period for questions regarding this request for proposals is **31 August 2015 14:00** and shall be addressed only to the individual mentioned in paragraph (a) above and submitted via the Seaport-e portal. Questions received after the allotted period will not be answered.



SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 23 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------

## SECTION M EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

### SECTION M: Evaluation Factors for Award

#### 52.217-5 EVALUATION OF OPTIONS (JUL 1990) (Variation)

The Government will evaluate proposals for award purposes by adding the total price for all options to the total price for the basic requirement. Evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s).

#### M-307 EVALUATION CRITERIA AND BASIS OF AWARD (BEST VALUE) (VARIATION)

It is the intention of the Government to award one task order as a result of this solicitation in accordance with FAR 16.505. Attention is directed to contract clause 5252.216-9217 (ALT III) of the basic contract. The award will be made to the offeror whose proposal is most advantageous to the Government under the selection criteria set forth in this Section M.

The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a task order upon initial proposals. Therefore, the offeror's initial proposal shall contain the offeror's best terms from a cost or price and technical standpoint. However, in accordance with clause 5252.216-9217 (ALT III) of the contract, the Government may contact offerors concerning their proposals as permitted under FAR Part 16. The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer determines them to be necessary under FAR Part 15. If the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.

(a) The task order resulting from this solicitation will be awarded to the offeror whose proposal, conforming to the solicitation requirements, is determined to provide the best value to the Government. The best value determination will be based on the merits of the proposal and the offeror's capability. The best value may not necessarily be the proposal offering the lowest cost, nor receiving the highest technical rating. As specified in the FAR 52.215-1 "Instructions to Offerors" Competitive Acquisition provision, the Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract/order without discussions. A proposal must be acceptable for the offeror to be eligible for award. PROPOSALS THAT DO NOT CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS STATED HEREIN MAY BE DETERMINED UNACCEPTABLE AND MAY BE REJECTED WITHOUT FURTHER EVALUATION.

(b) Proposals will be rated on the evaluation factor listed below. **Evaluation Factors (other than cost)** are significantly more important than cost. However, cost is an important factor and should be considered when preparing proposals. While evaluation factors (other than price) are more important than cost, the importance of cost as an evaluation factor increases with the degree of technical equality of the proposals. Accordingly, when offerors are considered essentially equal in terms of technical capability, cost may become the determining factor for award. The Government reserves the right to award to a lower cost offeror when the proposals are considered essentially equal in terms of technical capability, or when specific strengths and/or benefits associated with a technically superior proposal do not support the payment of any associated cost or price premium. In summary, cost/technical capability tradeoffs will be made.

(c) The offeror's proposal shall be in the format prescribed by, and shall contain a response to, each of the areas identified in Section L. The order of relative importance for the evaluation factors is established as follows:

- (1) Factor A (Technical Capability) is more important than Factor B (Cost).

*NOTE: A proposal that receives a rating of "Unacceptable" in any non-cost factor or sub factor will result in the entire proposal being determined "Unacceptable" and ineligible for award.*

#### OTHER FACTORS PROPOSAL (VOLUME I)

#### FACTOR A: TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

The Government will evaluate each Offeror's (prime and subcontractors) technical capability based on corporate experience. The evaluation will be an assessment of the Offeror's technical capability and relevant experience performing technical and programmatic services to provide **Computer Network Defense (CND) Services for ISEA Support** within the areas that will be performed under the prospective taskings contemplated by this solicitation. The objective of the evaluation is to make an evaluation/selection decision based on the offerors ability to meet the requirement and any strengths, weaknesses, significant weaknesses, or deficiencies identified in the proposal.

**The Factors' order of relative importance is established as follows: Factor A is significantly more**

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 24 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------

## important than factor B.

The Government's evaluation will be based primarily on the information provided by the offeror and submitted with its proposal (see Section L of the request for proposal for instructions about the preparation of information). The subfactor adjectival rating will be an overall assessment of strengths, weaknesses, significant weaknesses, deficiencies, and risks for the entire subfactor. The Government will evaluate the work performed for each reference for relevance to the work required under the contemplated task order. The more **relevant** the demonstrated experience is to the contemplated work, the more valuable the experience is to the Government. The Government reserves the right to consider information other than that included on the Offeror-prepared forms. Offerors (prime and significant subcontractors) shall provide reference information only for Technical Capability that is **current** and **relevant** as defined in Section L. The Offeror will be credited with only those contract references that the Government determines to be **current** and **relevant**.

The Offeror will be credited with its proposed significant subcontractor's experience only to the extent its significant subcontractor's experience relates to the work that the significant subcontractor will perform. The Government's evaluation of significant subcontractor's experience will be based primarily on the information on the forms that are completed for each significant subcontractor and submitted with the Offeror's proposal (see Section L about preparation of those forms for significant subcontractors).

The Technical Capability reference data (and associated past performance information) is limited to companies that are expected to perform this contract effort, i.e., included in the technical and cost/price proposal data submission with assigned labor category hours and teaming agreements. Technical Capability reference data for any company, division, subsidiary, etc., that is not included in the technical and cost/price proposal for this contract effort will not be considered.

**NOTE: If a offeror fails to include the minimum number of current and relevant contract references for the prime contractor as stated in provision L-317 Submission of Proposals, a rating of unacceptable will be assigned to the Technical Capability Factor, the proposal will be rejected, and the offeror will not be considered for contract award.**

## BUSINESS PROPOSAL (VOLUME II)

### FACTOR B: COST PROPOSAL

Evaluation of a offeror's proposal shall be based on the information presented in the proposal and information available to the Contracting Office from sources deemed appropriate. Sources typically considered include Defense Contract Audit Agency office, Defense Contract Management Agency, other contracts with the same firms for similar items or services, known commercial sources such as Global Insight Inc. (formerly Data Resources, Inc.), Standard and Poor, etc. Proposals which are unrealistic in terms of technical or schedule commitments, or unrealistically high or low in terms of cost, may be deemed reflective of an inherent lack of technical competence, or indicative of a failure to comprehend the complexity of risks of the proposed work and may be grounds for rejection of the proposal. The quality of organization and attention to detail reflected in the business proposal will be considered to be an indication of the quality of organization and level of capability during contract performance. Subjective judgment on the part of the government evaluators is implicit in the entire process.

*(a) Proposals will be evaluated for price reasonableness.* Cost/Price evaluation will be based on the total cost proposed for all lots. Each lot consists of the total cost for material, labor, overhead, general and administrative expenses, miscellaneous subcontracting, travel/per diem, contract data and any miscellaneous cost items. Labor will be evaluated by multiplying the labor rate times the estimated annual hours as set forth in the Pricing Model, Attachments 2. The other direct cost, i.e., miscellaneous subcontracting, travel/per diem, material, etc. will be evaluated by ADDING the proposed loading factors such as G&A, material handling, etc., to the Government's estimates specified in the Pricing Model, Attachments 2. Contract Data is "Not Separately Priced."

*(b) Cost Realism.* The Cost Proposal will be evaluated on the overall cost realism exhibited. Cost Realism pertains to the offeror's ability to project costs which are reasonable and which indicate the offeror understands of the nature and scope of the work to be performed. The purpose of this evaluation shall be to assess the degree to which the costs included in the cost/price proposal accurately represent the work efforts included in the proposal, and/or other cost-related information available to the Contracting Officer.

Proposed costs may be adjusted, for purposes of evaluation, based on the results of the cost realism evaluation.

*NOTE: The Government reserves the right to make award based upon the cost realism amount.*

*(c) Uncompensated Overtime Evaluation.* The use of uncompensated overtime, as defined in the FAR 52.237-10, is discouraged by the Government. Based upon our assessment of the technical services required herein, it is unrealistic to expect long-term employees to continually work in excess of the industry norm of 40 hours per week. Therefore, the use of uncompensated overtime in this acquisition represents a risk to the Government.

SOLICITATION NO. 1300504226-01	AMENDMENT NO.	PAGE 25 of 25	FINAL
-----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------

OTHER REQUIREMENTS:

All proposals are subject to the following limitations:

**Labor Categories:** Please do not include any labor categories not contained in the Basic Contract without obtaining prior approval from the Ordering Officer. Doing so may render your proposal to be deemed non-responsive.

The offeror shall provide a proposal. This will be a Term/**Level of Effort** type task order for severable services.

The Government anticipates the following based on one (1) TWELVE (12) month base and one (1) TWELVE (12) month option period. The total estimated labor hours is 35,680 standard hours and no overtime hours. Such hours are allocated as follows:

Base Period:

Cost proposal based on 17,840 hours.

Option Period 1:

Cost proposal based on 17,840 hours.

END OF SECTION M

---